

**RFP ADDENDUM #2**

Date of Addendum: May 19, 2021

**NOTICE TO ALL POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS**

The Request for Qualifications (RFQ) is modified as set forth in this Addendum. The original documents and any previously issued addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the RFQ. Respondent shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting its Qualifications.

**1.0 RFQ Deadline**

With Amendment #1, the submittal deadline has been changed to:

Submittal Deadline:	June 1, 2021 3:00 PM Pacific Time
------------------------	-----------------------------------

**2.0 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

Item	Section	Description of Change
2.1		<p><b>Question:</b> We’ve been looking at the RFQ for On-Call Architectural and Professional Services and it seems like an architect lead, do you intend for the architect to include a team that includes a landscape architect/ planner?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> A landscape architect may be included if part of your core team, but it is not a requirement or expectation for the submittal.</p>
2.2		<p><b>Question:</b> After reading through the RFQ, I see on page 3, Item 3A, you do <b>not</b> want sub-consultant information as part of this submittal. However, there is a reference to background checks for subconsultants on page 2/General Requirements Item 4. Please confirm whether or not you want subconsultant information included in the response to this ad.</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> The University is not requesting subconsultant information at this time.</p>
2.3		<p><b>Question:</b> The University of Washington staff traditionally have been part of the selection process for subconsultants under an architectural lead and architectural leads have been advised to submit on their own. MIG provides Landscape Architecture, Civil Engineering and Planning services for campuses. Is the intent for firms to submit on categories or to create complete teams?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> The intent of this RFQ is to submit on categories.</p>
2.4		<p><b>Question:</b> Section 2.C.requests a comparison of budget and schedule on the projects listed in response to 2.A. The question is asked for us to show this on four, but in parenthesis it</p>

	<p>shows, (2), projects from response to 2.A. Can you please clarify if UWF is looking for us to compare the four projects or just two of the four listed in 2.A.?</p> <p><b>Answer:</b> Please provide comparison for four projects.</p> <p><i>Experience and Past Performance</i>, Section 2.C. is corrected as follows:</p> <p>C. Ability to Manage Schedule and Budget: Compare original feasibility baseline cost or original design budget with the final budget and schedule duration for the four (4) projects in your response to 2.A. Briefly discuss success factors and lessons learned.</p>
--	--

**3.0 – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

3.1	<p>In light of the novel coronavirus outbreak, we are taking precautions to protect both UW employees and our contracting and consulting communities. Please review the message to contractors and vendors for COVID-A found at <a href="https://finance.uw.edu/ps/suppliers/terms-conditions">https://finance.uw.edu/ps/suppliers/terms-conditions</a> for detailed information University requirements.</p>
-----	---

**END OF ADDENDUM**