
RFP ADDENDUM #2
Date of Addendum: February 18, 2020

NOTICE TO ALL POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS

The Request for Proposals (RFP) is modified as set forth in this Addendum. The original RFP Documents and any previously issued addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this Addendum, which is hereby made part of the RFP. Respondent shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting its Proposal.

SUBMITTAL DEADLINE

The submittal deadline remains the same and is not changed by this Addendum.

1.0 – RFP

Item	RFP
1.1	Project Information Meeting: See attached sign-in sheet from the Feb. 10 informational meeting. This sign-in represents participants who attended in-person only. Call-in participants are not accounted for in this list.
1.2	Scope of Services: The selected firm will work under the direction of PDG in an iterative process to jointly develop plans for review by UW and City of Seattle stakeholders. To further clarify, the services will include <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Refinement and evolution of the existing Design Guidelines to include preferred detailing, materiality, landscape character, alternate approaches to key open space conditions, lighting, site features, etc. The goal of this document is to provide a more complete and detailed Basis of Design standard for West Campus open space.2. The Design & Implementation Plans (DIPs) are documents that describe the UW's intended path to realizing key open space commitments outlined in the CMP. As such, they will require design services to define, in detail, the layout and configuration of the West Campus Green.3. A concept plan for the Continuous Waterfront Trail utilizing the design language developed in the Design Guidelines;
1.3	Sample Deliverable – The UW and the selected team will ultimately determine the extent of both the concept and implementation plans. Excerpts from the Ranier Vista Concept Plan have been provided as an example of the work and potential end-product being requested. This 'Plan' outlines approach and design language, specific designs by location, as well as phasing.

2.0 – Request For Information	
Item	RFI
2.1	<p>Question: Will the project team be responsible for procuring appropriate City permits? Or only responsible for pre-application meetings with the city?</p> <p>Answer: The project team, in coordination with UW, will be responsible for developing and submitting the Implementation Plan as well as Concept Plan for review by the City. The submission timing will be coordinated with the development team selected for Site W27.</p>
2.2	<p>Question: Please clarify /confirm “Staff proposed to work on this project must have a current... landscape architecture license issued by the State of Washington.” Does this include all representative staff on the project?</p> <p>Answer: It is preferred that the lead designer or Principal-In-Charge dedicated to this project has licensure in the State of Washington. Not every representative staff member needs licensure within WA.</p>
2.3	<p>Question: If a firm would like to propose core team members in the RFP response, should resumes and license information also be included for the team members?</p> <p>Answer: Resumes and licensure information should only be included for core team members.</p>
2.4	<p>Question: We understand the University's desire to not unpack an extensive infrastructural analysis. It does seem like certain parameters within the RFP (e.g. high-level stormwater modelling to understand the amount of stormwater to coming off of the future development, waterfront permitting parameters) will need advice from specialized consultants beyond the scope of the Planner and/or Landscape Architect. Should teams offer preferred collaborators to unpack these questions?</p> <p>Answer: The teams should not offer preferred collaborators to the RFP response.</p>
2.5	<p>Question: To what extent do you anticipate the project scope will be articulating an architectural design language and materiality? Will this study exclusively engage building massing, or do you anticipate that more specific architectural guidelines will be needed to explore how the building facades meet and interface with the landscape?</p> <p>Answer: As the edge condition, ground floor activation, the West Campus Green pavilion(s) and other key factors play into the DIP, this study will need to articulate a design language and materiality.</p>
2.6	<p>Question: For the purposes of discussing staff availability in the proposal, can you say what the likely duration of the project will be?</p>

	<p>Answer: In an effort to help inform the first development site in West Campus, it is anticipated that the UW would target preliminary deliverables by December 2020. Services will likely be needed through 2021 but will be further defined once a development partner has been confirmed and a project schedule has been communicated; a key milestone is the developers first permit submittal to the City, which requires the completed DIP and Concept Plans as part of the permit package.</p>
2.7	<p>Question: Can you explain the impetus for including the South Campus Green in this scope of work?</p> <p>Answer: The South Campus Green is only included from the standpoint that the Design Guidelines developed in this current scope of work would provide the framework and design 'language' for that sector of campus.</p>
2.8	<p>Question: Can UW share the full 2018 West Campus Implementation Plan?</p> <p>Answer: Although the Implementation Plan is a good source and reference, it does not currently address a number of changed conditions and current constraints within West Campus that have been further identified and defined since its publication. It will be shared with the selected firm upon award as reference in developing the DIP for the West Campus Green.</p>
2.9	<p>Question: How much of the West Campus development do you expect to be developer led?</p> <p>Answer: In the current state, development on campus relies on a combination of State, donor and/or other independent funding sources for new construction. We anticipate a majority of future projects to be developer led.</p>
2.10	<p>Question: Have any conversations taken place with private land owners in the area of study, and does the University anticipate any outreach beyond the UW community?</p> <p>Answer: The Campus Master Plan was developed over a number of years with full engagement from the surrounding communities. As such, because West Campus is within the Major Institution Overlay boundary, we will be required to present and communicate any advancement within West Campus to the City-University Community Advisory Committee (CUCAC), UW Architectural/Landscape Commission, Faculty Senate and the City of Seattle for review.</p>

END OF ADDENDUM